	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/509,475	VAN DIJK ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Joseph D. Torres	2112
All Participants:	Status of Application:	<del></del>
(1) <u>Joseph D. Torres</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Gregory Thorne</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 19 September 2007	Time:	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant  ☐ Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ Yes, provide a brief description: N/A.	nt's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:  N/A		
Claims discussed: Withdrawn claims		
Prior art documents discussed: N/A		·
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENER Authorization for this examiner's amendment was given in a telep		
Part III.		,
<ul> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redirectly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redid not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary</li> </ul>	e examiner will provide a writton ecord of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview
JOSEPH D. TORRES PRIMARY EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY OF NTER 2100  (Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/	Applicant's Representative Si	onature — if appropriate)
(Applicant)	, ipplicative of topicoentative of	g. a.c. ii appropriate/

U.S. Patent and Tademark Office PTOL-413B (04-03)